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### Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFDC</td>
<td>Aid to Families with Dependent Children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Combined Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEED</td>
<td>Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHS</td>
<td>Minnesota Department of Human Services (department)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRA</td>
<td>Deficit Reduction Act of 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVHHS</td>
<td>Des Moines Valley Health and Human Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWP</td>
<td>Diversionary Work Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSS</td>
<td>Family Stabilization Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHS</td>
<td>U.S. Department of Health and Human Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAXIS</td>
<td>Minnesota Department of Human Services’ eligibility system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFIP</td>
<td>Minnesota Family Investment Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN Prairie</td>
<td>Minnesota Prairie County Alliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOE</td>
<td>TANF Maintenance of Effort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRWORA</td>
<td>Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSDI</td>
<td>Retirement, Survivors, and Disability Insurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-SI</td>
<td>MFIP/DWP Self-Support Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAP</td>
<td>Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWHHS</td>
<td>Southwest Health and Human Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TANF</td>
<td>Temporary Assistance for Needy Families</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEI</td>
<td>TANF Work-eligible Individual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WF1</td>
<td>Workforce One – DEED workforce data entry system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPR</td>
<td>TANF Work Participation Rate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Introduction

The Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) “Management Indicators Report” was developed to report on eight measures: MFIP closings, MFIP/DWP placement wages, TANF work participation rate, MFIP counted months, MFIP employment services enrollments, closures and exit reasons, the MFIP/DWP Self-Support Index, MFIP exits and returns, and MFIP unaccounted for cases. The report is for human service directors, employment services providers and other interested parties quarterly in compliance with statutory requirements for a quarterly comparison report. [Minn. Stat. 256J.751, subd. 2] This report and other MFIP reports are posted to the Minnesota Department of Human Services (department) web page (http://mn.gov/dhs): Select Partners and Providers/Economic Supports/MFIP/Reports. As part of an effort to improve the performance measurement system, department staff are reviewing the measures included in this report and invite input. Direct questions and suggestions regarding this report, the usefulness of existing measures and ideas for additional measures, to the contact on the inside cover.

A large drop in the TANF work participation rate was observed in December 2014 upon suspension of the Work Benefit program. In 2015, the statewide WPR and the average caseload size were smaller than before this policy change.

In July 2015, the MFIP housing grant went into effect. Starting with the third quarter of 2015, the Self-Support Index was updated to include receipt of a housing grant in the definition of cash assistance. Participants who receive cash, including only the housing grant, will not be included in the numerator of the measure unless they are working the required number of hours for success on the Self-Support Index for MFIP participants with a cash grant. This change resulted in a slight decrease in the index.

The requirement that a case remain active in the second month after the reporting quarter has been dropped for Indicator 8, MFIP unaccounted for cases. This change aligns the measure with others in the report and results in a slight increase in MFIP unaccounted for cases. Note that Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development’s (DEED) person-level report on unaccounted for cases will continue to drop cases no longer active.

For more information on this report, see the Notes section at the end of the report.

Indicators

Indicator 1: MFIP Closings

What are MFIP closings? An MFIP case is considered closed for this quarterly measure when it was eligible (active) in any month during the quarter previous to the measurement quarter, and ineligible during the entire measurement quarter.

Background. This measure helps a service area to examine its point-in-time closings – cases moving off active MFIP assistance in a quarter. Information on the number of closed cases staying off or returning to active MFIP is in Indicator 7.
Formula. Number of MFIP case closings as defined above, **divided by** the count of active MFIP cases in the quarter previous to the measurement quarter.

Details. The number of active MFIP cases is unduplicated (counted only once per quarter). Case counts and closing counts include all types of active MFIP cases, both child-only cases (no eligible adults) and cases with eligible adults. Counts and rates are reported for each service area, each region and statewide, with a closing being credited to a case’s service area in the exit month. Each service area rate is compared to its region and the state to gauge performance of the service area relative to its neighbors and the state.

Learn more about the MFIP program on the [department’s website](#).

### MFIP Closings—State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>MFIP case closings</th>
<th>Active MFIP caseload</th>
<th>MFIP closing rate</th>
<th>Difference from region</th>
<th>Difference from state</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>4,477</td>
<td>34,788</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MFIP Closings—Southeast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>MFIP case closings</th>
<th>Active MFIP caseload</th>
<th>MFIP closing rate</th>
<th>Difference from region</th>
<th>Difference from state</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fillmore</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeborn</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodhue</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>-2.2%</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN Prairie</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>-2.5%</td>
<td>-1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mower</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olmsted</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wabasha</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winona</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>-4.8%</td>
<td>-3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>2,494</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP Closings—Northeast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>MFIP case closings</th>
<th>Active MFIP caseload</th>
<th>MFIP closing rate</th>
<th>Difference from region</th>
<th>Difference from state</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aitkin</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>-0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>-6.3%</td>
<td>-7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itasca</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koochiching</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>-0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>-0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>1,575</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>-0.8%</td>
<td>-1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>2,256</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>-0.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MFIP Closings—Southwest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>MFIP case closings</th>
<th>Active MFIP caseload</th>
<th>MFIP closing rate</th>
<th>Difference from region</th>
<th>Difference from state</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big Stone</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chippewa</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>-0.9%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVHHS</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac qui Parle</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nobles</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWHHS</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>-0.5%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swift</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>-1.4%</td>
<td>-0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow Medicine</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>-2.3%</td>
<td>-1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>769</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP Closings—South Central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>MFIP case closings</th>
<th>Active MFIP caseload</th>
<th>MFIP closing rate</th>
<th>Difference from region</th>
<th>Difference from state</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue Earth</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faribault-Martin</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Sueur</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicollet</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>-5.8%</td>
<td>-3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibley</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watonwan</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Central</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MFIP Closings—Suburban Metro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>MFIP case closings</th>
<th>Active MFIP caseload</th>
<th>MFIP closing rate</th>
<th>Difference from region</th>
<th>Difference from state</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anoka</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>1,718</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>-2.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carver</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dakota</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>1,542</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>21.0%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban Metro</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>4,281</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## MFIP Closings—Core Metro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>MFIP case closings</th>
<th>Active MFIP caseload</th>
<th>MFIP closing rate</th>
<th>Difference from region</th>
<th>Difference from state</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hennepin</td>
<td>1,122</td>
<td>10,436</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>-0.4%</td>
<td>-2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramsey</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>6,766</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>-0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Metro</td>
<td>1,934</td>
<td>17,202</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>-1.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## MFIP Closings—Northwest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>MFIP case closings</th>
<th>Active MFIP caseload</th>
<th>MFIP closing rate</th>
<th>Difference from region</th>
<th>Difference from state</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kittson</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>-14.3%</td>
<td>-12.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norman</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennington</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>-8.2%</td>
<td>-6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Lake</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseau</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP Closings—West Central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>MFIP case closings</th>
<th>Active MFIP caseload</th>
<th>MFIP closing rate</th>
<th>Difference from region</th>
<th>Difference from state</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Becker</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>-2.0%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beltrami</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cass</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>-2.5%</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearwater</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crow Wing</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubbard</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake of the Woods</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>-14.3%</td>
<td>-12.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahnomen</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>-8.5%</td>
<td>-7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrison</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otter Tail</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>-1.9%</td>
<td>-0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pope</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>-0.5%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>-1.0%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wadena</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>-2.7%</td>
<td>-1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Earth Nation</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>-3.9%</td>
<td>-2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilkin</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Central</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>3,310</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Indicator 2: MFIP and DWP Median Placement Wage**

**What is the median placement wage?** A median is the midpoint of a sorted list of values. A median is used as an average wage to avoid the bias of extreme wages skewing the mean (the arithmetic average). This measure gives the service area's median wage for the first job MFIP participants started after enrolling in employment services, as recorded in Workforce One (WF1).

**Background.** Job placement and wage data are collected by the Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development (DEED). The servicing county or county consortium categories are from MAXIS, the department's eligibility system.

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>MFIP case closings</th>
<th>Active MFIP caseload</th>
<th>MFIP closing rate</th>
<th>Difference from region</th>
<th>Difference from state</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>-1.9%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chisago</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isanti</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanabec</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>-3.5%</td>
<td>-0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kandiyohi</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLeod</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>-1.5%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeker</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>-2.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mille Lacs</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>-3.2%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renville</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherburne</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearns</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>-2.4%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>3,056</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
**Formula.** The median is the placement wage in the middle of the sorted list of wages (when there is an odd number of new workers with a recorded hourly placement wage), or the midpoint between the two middle values (if there is an even number of new workers).

**Details.** This indicator gives the number of MFIP and DWP Employment Services (ES) participants newly enrolled in the quarter who obtained employment in that quarter, and the median placement (starting) wage by service area at the start of the job. It includes all first jobs as coded in WF1 for either part- or full-time employment. Blanks indicate that no newly enrolled persons in that service area got a job.

Learn more about the MFIP program on the [department’s website](#).

**MFIP and DWP Median Placement Wage—State**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Number of job placements (MFIP)</th>
<th>Median placement wage (MFIP)</th>
<th>Number of job placements (DWP)</th>
<th>Median placement wage (DWP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>1,449</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
<td>1,245</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP and DWP Median Placement Wage—Southeast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Number of job placements (MFIP)</th>
<th>Median placement wage (MFIP)</th>
<th>Number of job placements (DWP)</th>
<th>Median placement wage (DWP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fillmore</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$10.10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$11.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeborn</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$10.73</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$10.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodhue</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$9.50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN Prairie</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>$10.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mower</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>$11.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olmsted</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>$11.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wabasha</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$9.50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$11.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winona</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$10.50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$10.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP and DWP Median Placement Wage—Northeast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Number of job placements (MFIP)</th>
<th>Median placement wage (MFIP)</th>
<th>Number of job placements (DWP)</th>
<th>Median placement wage (DWP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aitkin</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$10.38</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$10.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$12.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$14.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itasca</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$11.57</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koochiching</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$10.75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$9.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>$10.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MFIP and DWP Median Placement Wage—Southwest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Number of job placements (MFIP)</th>
<th>Median placement wage (MFIP)</th>
<th>Number of job placements (DWP)</th>
<th>Median placement wage (DWP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big Stone</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$9.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chippewa</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$9.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVHHS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$10.25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$7.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac qui Parle</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nobles</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$11.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWHHS</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swift</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$9.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow Medicine</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$10.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP and DWP Median Placement Wage—South Central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Number of job placements (MFIP)</th>
<th>Median placement wage (MFIP)</th>
<th>Number of job placements (DWP)</th>
<th>Median placement wage (DWP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue Earth</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>$10.50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$10.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faribault-Martin</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$10.75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Sueur</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$10.13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$10.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicollet</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$11.31</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$10.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibley</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$9.50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$11.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watonwan</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$13.00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$10.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Central</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>$10.50</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>$10.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MFIP and DWP Median Placement Wage—Suburban Metro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Number of job placements (MFIP)</th>
<th>Median placement wage (MFIP)</th>
<th>Number of job placements (DWP)</th>
<th>Median placement wage (DWP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anoka</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carver</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dakota</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>$12.50</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>$12.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$12.18</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>$11.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban Metro</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>$11.25</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP and DWP Median Placement Wage—Core Metro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Number of job placements (MFIP)</th>
<th>Median placement wage (MFIP)</th>
<th>Number of job placements (DWP)</th>
<th>Median placement wage (DWP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hennepin</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramsey</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>$11.76</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>$12.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Metro</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MFIP and DWP Median Placement Wage—Northwest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Number of job placements (MFIP)</th>
<th>Median placement wage (MFIP)</th>
<th>Number of job placements (DWP)</th>
<th>Median placement wage (DWP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kittson</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norman</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$10.25</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennington</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$10.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$24.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$9.75</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$9.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Lake</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseau</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$10.45</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$9.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>$9.50</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$9.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP and DWP Median Placement Wage—West Central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Number of job placements (MFIP)</th>
<th>Median placement wage (MFIP)</th>
<th>Number of job placements (DWP)</th>
<th>Median placement wage (DWP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Becker</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$9.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beltrami</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$10.25</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$11.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cass</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>$10.25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$9.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>$11.50</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$11.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearwater</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$13.18</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crow Wing</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$12.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$11.20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$12.88</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubbard</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$9.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake of the Woods</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahnomen</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrison</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otter Tail</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$11.03</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$10.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pope</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$9.50</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$9.50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$10.25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$10.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$10.25</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wadena</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$13.41</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$12.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Earth Nation</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilkin</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Central</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>$10.92</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>$10.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**MFIP and DWP Median Placement Wage—Central**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Number of job placements (MFIP)</th>
<th>Median placement wage (MFIP)</th>
<th>Number of job placements (DWP)</th>
<th>Median placement wage (DWP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>$11.54</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$11.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chisago</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$16.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isanti</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$10.80</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$10.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanabec</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$17.30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$12.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kandiyohi</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$10.93</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLeod</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$13.00</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$9.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeker</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$11.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mille Lacs</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$10.13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$11.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$9.88</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$11.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renville</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$11.25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherburne</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$11.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearns</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>$11.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$11.75</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>$11.00</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Indicator 3: TANF Work Participation Rate (WRP)**

**What is the work participation rate?** The WPR is the percentage of Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) cases whose adults are required to participate in work or specified work-directed activities who participate for the required number of hours in a month. The annual WPR is the average of the monthly WPRs in a 12-month period.

**Background.** The TANF work participation rate is the federal performance measure for the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families program. The federal Deficit Reduction Act (DRA) of 2005, which reauthorized TANF, specifies the definition and reporting requirements of this measure. The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) computes an annual statewide rate from person-level data that the state reports to HHS. The latest published TANF WPR report listing Minnesota’s WPR for federal fiscal year 2012 was 45.3 percent,
published in May 2015. Approximately one-quarter of all MFIP cases are included in the WPR denominator (e.g., 22.6 percent in June 2014).

Department estimates of the statewide WPR come very close to the official rate reported much later. To give counties, consortia and tribes more timely information on their WPR performance, the department also computes monthly estimates at the local level, published in this quarterly report. The department’s annual WPR estimates for counties, consortia and tribes are the average of the monthly values in a 12-month period. (The department uses the statewide federal methods to compute the local monthly and annual values, but uses an April to March period – rather than the federal fiscal year starting in October – so the latest possible data is available for writing Biennial Service Agreements.)

**Formula.** Number of participating cases – those required to participate with the prescribed number of documented hours in activities that count divided by the number of cases required to participate.

**Details.** TANF cases are a subset of MFIP cases. TANF cases that have caregivers who are Work-eligible Individuals (WEIs) are required to participate. MFIP cash grants for most of these cases are federal TANF funds; most parents or relative caregivers on these cases are personally eligible for MFIP. Cases with teen or non-citizen caregivers that are state-funded with Maintenance of Effort (MOE) funds are also included. Cases with two MFIP-eligible parents, cases in Family Stabilization Services (a service track of MFIP), and most child-only cases (those with no MFIP-eligible caregiver), are not included.

Work is paid employment verified by employer documents. Work activities are divided into core (types of work and vocational training) and non-core (basic skills training and high school completion or its equivalent). While the department can only count up to 30 percent of WEIs in education or training in the numerator, this limit was suspended at the local level as of July 1, 2014, for service areas (counties, consortia and tribes).

Cases with the following activities meet the monthly hours requirement:

- Eighty-seven hours (in work or other specified core activities) for families with a child under age 6 and only one caregiver in the household
- One hundred and thirty hours, of which 87 must be core, for all other families.

Teen caregivers with at least three monthly hours in the high school completion or GED activity categories also meet their requirement.

TANF cases that are enrolled with a tribal employment services provider are included in their tribal provider’s measure and not in their county’s measure. A tribal provider WPR is computed following the same rules as for counties. Three tribal providers do not operate a separate tribal TANF program and are reported here.

A blank indicates that the service area had no work eligible individuals that month; annual averages exclude these months.

**To learn more:** The following are links to reports on annualized WPR, WPR background in Minnesota, the WPR documentation review and the U.S. Health and Human Services TANF website.
## TANF Work Participation Rate—State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>7,601</td>
<td>39.8%</td>
<td>37.4%</td>
<td>37.1%</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
<td>38.3%</td>
<td>41.1%</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
<td>40.8%</td>
<td>42.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## TANF Work Participation Rate—Southeast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fillmore</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeborn</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43.6%</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
<td>36.7%</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
<td>39.5%</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodhue</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>37.0%</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
<td>48.3%</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
<td>36.1%</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
<td>40.5%</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>53.8%</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>55.6%</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>55.6%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN Prairie</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>46.2%</td>
<td>39.0%</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
<td>41.6%</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>43.6%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mower</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
<td>34.9%</td>
<td>41.4%</td>
<td>33.9%</td>
<td>22.8%</td>
<td>43.1%</td>
<td>43.1%</td>
<td>48.5%</td>
<td>47.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olmsted</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>42.6%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>47.8%</td>
<td>49.3%</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>54.0%</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
<td>54.3%</td>
<td>49.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>40.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wabasha</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winona</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>48.3%</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>45.7%</td>
<td>42.1%</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>41.6%</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
<td>38.0%</td>
<td>37.0%</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>44.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TANF Work Participation Rate—Northeast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aitkin</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>56.0%</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
<td>42.1%</td>
<td>42.1%</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itasca</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>57.6%</td>
<td>36.1%</td>
<td>52.4%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>57.6%</td>
<td>58.8%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>45.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koochiching</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
<td>40.1%</td>
<td>37.0%</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>34.7%</td>
<td>38.3%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>36.2%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TANF Work Participation Rate—Southwest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big Stone</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chippewa</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>47.1%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVHHS</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>46.2%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac qui Parle</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nobles</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
<td>47.8%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>51.9%</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
<td>52.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWHHS</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>34.9%</td>
<td>41.5%</td>
<td>41.9%</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swift</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>55.6%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow Medicine</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>38.3%</td>
<td>44.6%</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>39.2%</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
<td>39.5%</td>
<td>39.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TANF Work Participation Rate—South Central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue Earth</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>53.7%</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
<td>33.9%</td>
<td>37.1%</td>
<td>45.2%</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>49.3%</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
<td>58.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td>42.1%</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faribault-Martin</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>60.9%</td>
<td>48.0%</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
<td>48.0%</td>
<td>56.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Sueur</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
<td>68.8%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicollet</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>36.7%</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
<td>37.7%</td>
<td>48.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibley</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>53.8%</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watonwan</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>63.6%</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
<td>46.2%</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Central</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>40.2%</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>34.2%</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>45.1%</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>52.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TANF Work Participation Rate—Suburban Metro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anoka</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>42.8%</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>43.4%</td>
<td>43.6%</td>
<td>43.3%</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
<td>48.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carver</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>58.6%</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>37.0%</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
<td>46.9%</td>
<td>58.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dakota</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>51.0%</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
<td>46.2%</td>
<td>56.1%</td>
<td>51.6%</td>
<td>48.3%</td>
<td>50.6%</td>
<td>50.2%</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>48.1%</td>
<td>55.4%</td>
<td>62.3%</td>
<td>65.6%</td>
<td>65.6%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>62.1%</td>
<td>48.2%</td>
<td>67.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>53.5%</td>
<td>49.6%</td>
<td>49.2%</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
<td>41.5%</td>
<td>51.9%</td>
<td>54.9%</td>
<td>56.2%</td>
<td>55.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban Metro</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>47.9%</td>
<td>44.8%</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>47.8%</td>
<td>47.0%</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
<td>48.7%</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TANF Work Participation Rate—Core Metro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hennepin</td>
<td>2,531</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td>35.8%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>36.9%</td>
<td>39.2%</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
<td>39.0%</td>
<td>41.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramsey</td>
<td>1,629</td>
<td>36.9%</td>
<td>33.7%</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
<td>36.2%</td>
<td>37.8%</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Metro</td>
<td>4,160</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td>36.2%</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TANF Work Participation Rate—Northwest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kittson</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norman</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennington</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>42.1%</td>
<td>47.2%</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Lake</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseau</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
<td>32.9%</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
<td>37.0%</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
<td>39.2%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## TANF Work Participation Rate—West Central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Becker</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>65.7%</td>
<td>51.4%</td>
<td>47.1%</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beltrami</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>34.3%</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td>29.8%</td>
<td>28.4%</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cass</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>36.1%</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
<td>28.9%</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
<td>42.5%</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>26.2%</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearwater</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>63.6%</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crow Wing</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>51.1%</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>46.5%</td>
<td>49.1%</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
<td>59.2%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
<td>54.2%</td>
<td>47.8%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>43.3%</td>
<td>70.4%</td>
<td>59.3%</td>
<td>60.9%</td>
<td>69.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubbard</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake of the Woods</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahnomen</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrison</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
<td>40.5%</td>
<td>39.5%</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otter Tail</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
<td>37.0%</td>
<td>41.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pope</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>58.3%</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wadena</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>53.8%</td>
<td>73.3%</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>47.1%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilkin</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Central</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>37.8%</td>
<td>36.1%</td>
<td>34.1%</td>
<td>32.9%</td>
<td>32.8%</td>
<td>42.5%</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
<td>36.1%</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## TANF Work Participation Rate—Central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>39.1%</td>
<td>45.8%</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>44.8%</td>
<td>44.9%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>44.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chisago</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>52.9%</td>
<td>56.5%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>34.8%</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
<td>57.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isanti</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
<td>58.8%</td>
<td>57.9%</td>
<td>57.5%</td>
<td>55.6%</td>
<td>61.4%</td>
<td>64.7%</td>
<td>59.4%</td>
<td>37.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanabec</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>58.3%</td>
<td>72.2%</td>
<td>63.2%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kandiyohi</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>52.3%</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
<td>40.8%</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>57.4%</td>
<td>49.0%</td>
<td>44.6%</td>
<td>47.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLeod</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>55.6%</td>
<td>52.9%</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
<td>47.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeker</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>61.5%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mille Lacs</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>61.9%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>45.2%</td>
<td>48.3%</td>
<td>55.2%</td>
<td>44.8%</td>
<td>52.0%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>49.0%</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>34.1%</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>34.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renville</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>53.8%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherburne</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
<td>41.4%</td>
<td>43.3%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>45.7%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearns</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>40.3%</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
<td>36.5%</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
<td>36.9%</td>
<td>44.5%</td>
<td>42.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>34.9%</td>
<td>40.5%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
<td>43.2%</td>
<td>48.0%</td>
<td>48.9%</td>
<td>47.1%</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
<td>39.6%</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
<td>43.0%</td>
<td>42.1%</td>
<td>45.4%</td>
<td>44.1%</td>
<td>46.5%</td>
<td>42.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tribal TANF Work Participation Rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leech Lake Band</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN Chippewa Tribe</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Earth Nation</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Tribal</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indicator 4: MFIP Counted Months

What are counted months? MFIP counted months are those in which an MFIP caregiver is personally eligible for an MFIP cash grant and not exempt from the 60-month time limit. In Minnesota, these months began counting in July 1997. Total counted months include any months counted in other states or U.S. territories. People acquire counted months; cases do not. A two-caregiver case reaches the time limit when either adult reaches 60 months.

Background. The federal government passed the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (PRWORA) of 1996 creating Temporary Assistance for Needy Families. The legislation allowed states to create their own TANF programs within certain guidelines, within which Minnesota implemented the Minnesota Family Investment Program in early 1998. Among other requirements, PRWORA mandated a lifetime limit of 60 months for receipt of cash assistance, with limited extensions for hardship. States could start counting months anytime between September 1996 and July 1997; those months also count if a person moves to another state. Family assistance months counted before the start of TANF were under the Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) program.

States are also allowed to provide TANF-funded extensions for up to 20 percent of caseloads for documented hardships. Most extended cases in Minnesota are state-funded, meaning these cases are not counted toward the 20 percent limit. Minnesota provides extensions for:

- People experiencing barriers to work, such as:
  - Illness lasting more than 30 days
  - Caring for an ill or incapacitated relative for more than 30 days
  - IQ lower than 80
  - Certain mental illnesses.
• Working families not earning enough to exit MFIP, although working the required number of hours.

**Formula.** Active MFIP cases with one or two eligible caregivers in the last month of the measurement quarter are divided into categories based on the number of months the caregiver with the higher count of months has accumulated toward the 60-month limit; percentages of all cases are reported in service area, region or state.

**Details.** Those with zero months have been exempt from the time limit for all their active months. Extended cases have been allowed to remain active due to documented hardships, as listed below.

Time limit exception reasons – for an MFIP month not to count – include:

• Living on a reservation with a “not employed” rate of at least 50 percent
• Having a family violence waiver while complying with an employment plan
• Age 60 or older
• Minor caregiver complying with an education plan
• Age 18 or 19
• Emancipated caregiver complying with education requirements in an employment plan.

There are two other situations in which months are not counted toward the time limit:

• Child-only cases where no caregiver is eligible and a cash grant is issued for MFIP-eligible children
• Food-only cases where the cash part of the grant is down to zero (due to other income sources or opting out of cash) and only food assistance is issued.

MFIP combines family cash assistance with the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), as permitted by federal waiver.

The most common reasons for caregiver ineligibility are receipt of Supplemental Security Income (SSI) for a disability and relative care. Cases cannot become child-only solely because a caregiver reached 60 counted months; caregivers must meet other criteria to be eligible for a child-only case.

**To learn more:** The [Combined Manual](#) (CM) gives the rules for determining eligibility for the cash and food assistance programs.

### MFIP Counted Months—State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible-adult caseload</th>
<th>0 months</th>
<th>1 - 12</th>
<th>13 - 24</th>
<th>25 - 36</th>
<th>37 - 48</th>
<th>49 - 60</th>
<th>Extended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>21,693</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP Counted Months—Southeast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible-adult caseload</th>
<th>0 months</th>
<th>1 - 12</th>
<th>13 - 24</th>
<th>25 - 36</th>
<th>37 - 48</th>
<th>49 - 60</th>
<th>Extended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fillmore</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeborn</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodhue</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN Prairie</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>34.4%</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mower</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>34.9%</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olmsted</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>36.5%</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>34.9%</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wabasha</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winona</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>28.4%</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>1,646</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>33.9%</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MFIP Counted Months—Northeast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible-adult caseload</th>
<th>0 months</th>
<th>1 - 12</th>
<th>13 - 24</th>
<th>25 - 36</th>
<th>37 - 48</th>
<th>49 - 60</th>
<th>Extended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aitkin</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itasca</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koochiching</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>1,342</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP Counted Months—Southwest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible-adult caseload</th>
<th>0 months</th>
<th>1 - 12</th>
<th>13 - 24</th>
<th>25 - 36</th>
<th>37 - 48</th>
<th>49 - 60</th>
<th>Extended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big Stone</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chippewa</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVHHS</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
<td>22.0%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac qui Parle</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nobles</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWHHS</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>41.4%</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swift</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow Medicine</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>37.0%</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MFIP Counted Months—South Central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible-adult caseload</th>
<th>0 months</th>
<th>1 - 12</th>
<th>13 - 24</th>
<th>25 - 36</th>
<th>37 - 48</th>
<th>49 - 60</th>
<th>Extended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue Earth</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>34.7%</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faribault-Martin</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>43.2%</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Sueur</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicollet</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibley</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watonwan</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Central</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>34.2%</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP Counted Months—Suburban Metro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible-adult caseload</th>
<th>0 months</th>
<th>1 - 12</th>
<th>13 - 24</th>
<th>25 - 36</th>
<th>37 - 48</th>
<th>49 - 60</th>
<th>Extended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anoka</td>
<td>1,109</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carver</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>38.0%</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dakota</td>
<td>881</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>30.2%</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban Metro</td>
<td>2,569</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>29.8%</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MFIP Counted Months—Core Metro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible-adult caseload</th>
<th>0 months</th>
<th>1 - 12</th>
<th>13 - 24</th>
<th>25 - 36</th>
<th>37 - 48</th>
<th>49 - 60</th>
<th>Extended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hennepin</td>
<td>6,704</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramsey</td>
<td>4,278</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Metro</td>
<td>10,982</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## MFIP Counted Months—Northwest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible-adult caseload</th>
<th>0 months</th>
<th>1 - 12</th>
<th>13 - 24</th>
<th>25 - 36</th>
<th>37 - 48</th>
<th>49 - 60</th>
<th>Extended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kittson</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norman</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennington</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>43.4%</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Lake</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseau</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>46.4%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>38.8%</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## MFIP Counted Months—West Central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible-adult caseload</th>
<th>0 months</th>
<th>1 - 12</th>
<th>13 - 24</th>
<th>25 - 36</th>
<th>37 - 48</th>
<th>49 - 60</th>
<th>Extended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Becker</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beltrami</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cass</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearwater</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crow Wing</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>34.0%</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubbard</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>29.9%</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake of the Woods</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahnomen</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrison</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otter Tail</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pope</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wadena</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Earth Nation</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilkin</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>56.0%</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Central</td>
<td>1,897</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**MFIP Counted Months—Central**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible-adult caseload</th>
<th>0 months</th>
<th>1 - 12</th>
<th>13 - 24</th>
<th>25 - 36</th>
<th>37 - 48</th>
<th>49 - 60</th>
<th>Extended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chisago</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isanti</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanabec</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kandiyohi</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>37.0%</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLeod</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>34.0%</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeker</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mille Lacs</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renville</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherburne</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearns</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>33.4%</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>1,896</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>34.1%</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Indicator 5: MFIP Employment Services Enrollments, Closures and Exit Reasons**

**What are MFIP employment services?** Services are introduced to participants in an overview and include assessment of ability to obtain and maintain employment, assistance in developing an employment plan, intensive job search and coordination, and provision of services needed to fulfill an employment plan. Service areas are responsible for either providing these services directly or contracting with another agency. All caregivers receiving MFIP, including those in the Family Stabilization Services (FSS) track, and those with a fraud disqualification, must be engaged in MFIP employment services, except limited exemptions allowed for those caring for a child less than 12 months old.
**Background.** Closures are divided into three categories. Those with the exit reason “Entered Unsubsidized Employment” are in the first group. Attaining unsubsidized employment, a goal of the program, is an important step toward self-sufficiency. This exit reason is defined in the Employment Services Manual as “Participant is working and the case is closed due to earnings, or a combination of unearned income (such as child support) and earnings.” Agencies instruct staff how to interpret this definition.

**Formula.** Unduplicated counts of all persons enrolled in employment services any time during the quarter, as well as the number closed during the quarter, are listed. Percentage closed for each reason is the number closed for that reason divided by the number closed.

**Details.** The reasons for closure are split into three groups: Unsubsidized employment, moved from the area (to MFIP in another county or out of Minnesota) and other. The “other” category is comprised of administrative separation, voluntary separation, closed due to sanction or 60 months limit, and an “other” category that includes reasons such as no eligible child on the case, person no longer in the case’s household, failure to file paperwork and receipt of SSI/RSDI. There is wide variability in how exit reasons are used across service areas. Employment services records are entered into the Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development Workforce One system, from which the employment and wage data for this indicator are drawn. The service area is the one as of the most recent MFIP exit.

**To learn more:** See the [MFIP and DWP Employment Services Manual](#).

**MFIP Employment Services Enrollments, Closures and Exit Reasons—State**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Total persons enrolled</th>
<th>Total persons closed</th>
<th>Percent closed</th>
<th>Percent closed by unsubsidized employment</th>
<th>Percent closed by moving from the area</th>
<th>Percent closed for some other reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>28,394</td>
<td>5,303</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>47.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP Employment Services Enrollments, Closures and Exit Reasons—Southeast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Total persons enrolled</th>
<th>Total persons closed</th>
<th>Percent closed</th>
<th>Percent closed by unsubsidized employment</th>
<th>Percent closed by moving from the area</th>
<th>Percent closed for some other reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fillmore</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeborn</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>39.1%</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodhue</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
<td>46.2%</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>30.2%</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN Prairie</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>21.0%</td>
<td>52.1%</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mower</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>61.0%</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olmsted</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>65.3%</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>50.7%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>42.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wabasha</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>55.6%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winona</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>1,984</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP Employment Services Enrollments, Closures and Exit Reasons—Northeast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Total persons enrolled</th>
<th>Total persons closed</th>
<th>Percent closed</th>
<th>Percent closed by unsubsidized employment</th>
<th>Percent closed by moving from the area</th>
<th>Percent closed for some other reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aitkin</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itasca</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>58.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koochiching</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis</td>
<td>1,114</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>63.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>1,599</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>62.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MFIP Employment Services Enrollments, Closures and Exit Reasons—Southwest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Total persons enrolled</th>
<th>Total persons closed</th>
<th>Percent closed</th>
<th>Percent closed by unsubsidized employment</th>
<th>Percent closed by moving from the area</th>
<th>Percent closed for some other reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big Stone</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chippewa</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31.4%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>93.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVHHS</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>79.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac qui Parle</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nobles</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
<td>63.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWHHS</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swift</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow Medicine</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>68.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP Employment Services Enrollments, Closures and Exit Reasons—South Central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Total persons enrolled</th>
<th>Total persons closed</th>
<th>Percent closed</th>
<th>Percent closed by unsubsidized employment</th>
<th>Percent closed by moving from the area</th>
<th>Percent closed for some other reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue Earth</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>39.7%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>44.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faribault-Martin</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td>37.0%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>55.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Sueur</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicollet</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>61.3%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibley</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watonwan</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Central</td>
<td>839</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>42.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MFIP Employment Services Enrollments, Closures and Exit Reasons—Suburban Metro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Total persons enrolled</th>
<th>Total persons closed</th>
<th>Percent closed</th>
<th>Percent closed by unsubsidized employment</th>
<th>Percent closed by moving from the area</th>
<th>Percent closed for some other reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anoka</td>
<td>1,473</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carver</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>63.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dakota</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
<td>45.8%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban Metro</td>
<td>3,411</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>44.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP Employment Services Enrollments, Closures and Exit Reasons—Core Metro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Total persons enrolled</th>
<th>Total persons closed</th>
<th>Percent closed</th>
<th>Percent closed by unsubsidized employment</th>
<th>Percent closed by moving from the area</th>
<th>Percent closed for some other reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hennepin</td>
<td>9,208</td>
<td>1,330</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>49.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramsey</td>
<td>5,741</td>
<td>1,014</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>55.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Metro</td>
<td>14,949</td>
<td>2,344</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>51.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MFIP Employment Services Enrollments, Closures and Exit Reasons—Northwest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Total persons enrolled</th>
<th>Total persons closed</th>
<th>Percent closed</th>
<th>Percent closed by unsubsidized employment</th>
<th>Percent closed by moving from the area</th>
<th>Percent closed for some other reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kittson</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norman</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennington</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
<td>55.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Lake</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseau</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>23.4%</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## MFIP Employment Services Enrollments, Closures and Exit Reasons—West Central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Total persons enrolled</th>
<th>Total persons closed</th>
<th>Percent closed</th>
<th>Percent closed by unsubsidized employment</th>
<th>Percent closed by moving from the area</th>
<th>Percent closed for some other reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Becker</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beltrami</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>72.9%</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cass</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>37.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearwater</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crow Wing</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubbard</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake of the Woods</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahnomen</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrison</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otter Tail</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>37.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pope</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
<td>58.3%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wadena</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Earth Nation</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>86.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilkin</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>36.7%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Central</td>
<td>2,284</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>43.1%</td>
<td>37.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## MFIP Employment Services Enrollments, Closures and Exit Reasons—Central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Total persons enrolled</th>
<th>Total persons closed</th>
<th>Percent closed</th>
<th>Percent closed by unsubsidized employment</th>
<th>Percent closed by moving from the area</th>
<th>Percent closed for some other reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
<td>46.4%</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chisago</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isanti</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanabec</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kandiyahi</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>61.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLeod</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeker</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mille Lacs</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
<td>41.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renville</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherburne</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>36.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearns</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>47.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>45.8%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>2,426</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Indicator 6: MFIP/DWP Self-Support Index (S-SI) and Range of Expected Performance

**What is the S-SI?** The Self-Support Index is an outcome measure that quantifies goals of the Minnesota Family Investment Program to help participants find and maintain employment, increase earnings and decrease use of cash assistance.

**Background.** In 2002, at the direction of the Minnesota Legislature, Minnesota Department of Human Services staff met with local partners, staff from service areas and employment services providers, and representatives from the Minnesota Department of Employment Security (that later became part of the Department of
Employment and Economic Development), to develop a performance measure of the goals for MFIP. The result of this collaboration was the Self-Support Index and range of expected performance, an outcome measure with customized targets for local partners.

Statewide Self-Support Index values have ranged between a low of 64.8 percent in fourth quarter 2010, to a high of 73.1 percent in second quarter 2006.

**Formula.** The number of participants off cash assistance or working at least 30 hours per week during a measurement quarter who were eligible for MFIP or the Diversionary Work Program (DWP) in the baseline quarter divided by the total number of active participants in the baseline quarter. There are three measures for cohorts of active participants in baseline quarters one, two, or three years previous. **Note that the three baseline cohorts are different — although overlapping — groups of people.** The table also gives the range of expected performance for the three-year S-SI and how a service area’s S-SI compares with its range.

**Details.** The Self-Support Index is the percentage of caregivers (usually parents, sometimes other relatives) personally eligible for MFIP or DWP in a baseline quarter who are either no longer receiving MFIP or DWP cash assistance, or are working an average of 30 or more hours per week during each month of the measurement quarter three years later. For example, the three-year Self-Support Index for the second quarter of 2014 reported outcomes during that quarter for the cohort eligible during the second quarter of 2011.

Those who left MFIP after reaching 60 counted MFIP months (the time limit in Minnesota), and those who left due to 100 percent sanction, are only counted as a success if they worked an average of 30 hours per week in their last month of program eligibility, or began receiving Supplemental Security Income after MFIP or DWP cash ended.

Participants are included with the service area or tribal provider that last provided services as of the end of the reporting quarter.

The three-year Self-Support Index has a related standard called the **range of expected performance** that is used to make more fair comparisons across service areas and tribal providers. Performance is assessed as above, within or below the range of expected performance, an interval based on caseload characteristics and economic conditions in each service area. More challenging situations lower the expected range. **Providers cannot influence the size or location of the range of expected performance** because this interval is calculated from regressions predicting success on the S-SI based on demographic and economic characteristics beyond the control of service areas and providers. No measures of the service or provider characteristics are predictors in the regression. **Providers can, however, influence the Self-Support Index through services that help MFIP and DWP participants increase their employment and earnings.**

To help county agencies, consortia and tribal providers understand progress toward the three-year measure, the Self-Support Index is also calculated for one- and two-year cohorts. For reporting the second quarter of 2014, for example, the one- and two-year indices reported outcomes for the cohorts personally eligible for MFIP or DWP during the second quarters of 2013 and 2012, respectively. A range of expected performance is not calculated for the one- and two-year measures.
Red Lake Nation remains included in this measure because the Self-Support Index difficulty factor, used to adjust MFIP funding levels, continues to be relevant during the transition to a Tribal TANF program.

To learn more: See the [Self-Support Index](#) and [Range of Expected Performance](#) links.

### MFIP/DWP Self-Support Index and Range of Expected Performance—State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible adults (One-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (One-year)</th>
<th>Eligible adults (Two-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (Two-year)</th>
<th>Eligible adults (Three-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (Three-year)</th>
<th>Range of expected performance: Lower limit</th>
<th>Range of expected performance: Upper limit</th>
<th>Difference below (-) or above (+) range</th>
<th>Below, within or above expected performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>32,127</td>
<td>48.6%</td>
<td>32,685</td>
<td>59.7%</td>
<td>35,026</td>
<td>65.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MFIP/DWP Self-Support Index and Range of Expected Performance—Southeast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible adults (One-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (One-year)</th>
<th>Eligible adults (Two-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (Two-year)</th>
<th>Eligible adults (Three-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (Three-year)</th>
<th>Range of expected performance: Lower limit</th>
<th>Range of expected performance: Upper limit</th>
<th>Difference below (-) or above (+) range</th>
<th>Below, within or above expected performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fillmore</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>57.7%</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>78.3%</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>80.6%</td>
<td>78.3%</td>
<td>84.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeborn</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>54.2%</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>62.2%</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>73.0%</td>
<td>70.7%</td>
<td>77.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodhue</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>64.0%</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>70.2%</td>
<td>64.8%</td>
<td>72.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>60.3%</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>72.5%</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>69.7%</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>-1.7%</td>
<td>Below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN Prairie</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>55.7%</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>62.7%</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>71.2%</td>
<td>65.1%</td>
<td>72.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mower</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>59.0%</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>68.2%</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>78.4%</td>
<td>78.1%</td>
<td>83.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olmsted</td>
<td>823</td>
<td>53.7%</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>73.0%</td>
<td>73.9%</td>
<td>77.6%</td>
<td>-0.9%</td>
<td>Below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>57.7%</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>69.9%</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>74.1%</td>
<td>74.2%</td>
<td>79.7%</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
<td>Below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wabasha</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>59.0%</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>70.8%</td>
<td>70.1%</td>
<td>79.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winona</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>52.0%</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>68.1%</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>76.7%</td>
<td>68.4%</td>
<td>75.5%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>2452</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
<td>2449</td>
<td>66.8%</td>
<td>2645</td>
<td>73.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP/DWP Self-Support Index and Range of Expected Performance—Northeast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible adults (One-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (One-year)</th>
<th>Eligible adults (Two-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (Two-year)</th>
<th>Eligible adults (Three-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (Three-year)</th>
<th>Range of expected performance: Lower limit</th>
<th>Range of expected performance: Upper limit</th>
<th>Difference below (-) or above (+) range</th>
<th>Below, within or above expected performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aitkin</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>67.9%</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>79.4%</td>
<td>70.8%</td>
<td>81.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>50.9%</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>65.8%</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>81.2%</td>
<td>74.5%</td>
<td>86.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>47.1%</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>60.9%</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>81.8%</td>
<td>64.8%</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itasca</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>47.3%</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>62.9%</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>70.7%</td>
<td>69.6%</td>
<td>75.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koochiching</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>74.7%</td>
<td>68.1%</td>
<td>77.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>80.8%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>73.7%</td>
<td>63.7%</td>
<td>85.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis</td>
<td>1,262</td>
<td>43.3%</td>
<td>1,246</td>
<td>56.3%</td>
<td>1,353</td>
<td>63.9%</td>
<td>64.4%</td>
<td>68.8%</td>
<td>-0.4%</td>
<td>Below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>1744</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
<td>1,810</td>
<td>58.8%</td>
<td>1,967</td>
<td>67.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP/DWP Self-Support Index and Range of Expected Performance—Southwest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible adults (One-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (One-year)</th>
<th>Eligible adults (Two-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (Two-year)</th>
<th>Eligible adults (Three-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (Three-year)</th>
<th>Range of expected performance: Lower limit</th>
<th>Range of expected performance: Upper limit</th>
<th>Difference below (-) or above (+) range</th>
<th>Below, within or above expected performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big Stone</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>78.6%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>84.2%</td>
<td>66.4%</td>
<td>81.9%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chippewa</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>46.2%</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>63.2%</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>66.1%</td>
<td>60.8%</td>
<td>73.1%</td>
<td>Within</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVHHS</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>58.3%</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>71.2%</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>75.2%</td>
<td>71.1%</td>
<td>79.5%</td>
<td>Within</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac qui Parle</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>74.1%</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>72.7%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
<td>58.2%</td>
<td>75.6%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nobles</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>65.7%</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>75.2%</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>80.9%</td>
<td>81.9%</td>
<td>87.1%</td>
<td>-1.0%</td>
<td>Below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWHHS</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>56.5%</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>70.9%</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>77.5%</td>
<td>73.0%</td>
<td>78.3%</td>
<td>Within</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swift</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>63.9%</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>74.0%</td>
<td>61.0%</td>
<td>73.9%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow Medicine</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>54.3%</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>82.9%</td>
<td>62.1%</td>
<td>79.7%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>58.2%</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>70.6%</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>76.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP/DWP Self-Support Index and Range of Expected Performance—South Central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible adults (One-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (One-year)</th>
<th>Eligible adults (Two-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (Two-year)</th>
<th>Eligible adults (Three-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (Three-year)</th>
<th>Range of expected performance: Lower limit</th>
<th>Range of expected performance: Upper limit</th>
<th>Difference below (-) or above (+) range</th>
<th>Below, within or above expected performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue Earth</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>55.3%</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>74.2%</td>
<td>69.7%</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>Within</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>65.2%</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>76.5%</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>80.5%</td>
<td>74.0%</td>
<td>82.1%</td>
<td>Within</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faribault-Martin</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>53.1%</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>68.9%</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>72.9%</td>
<td>72.5%</td>
<td>78.4%</td>
<td>Within</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Sueur</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>51.8%</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>67.6%</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>76.6%</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>81.6%</td>
<td>Within</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicollet</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>53.4%</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>68.4%</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>71.5%</td>
<td>68.8%</td>
<td>75.7%</td>
<td>Within</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibley</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>78.8%</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>80.4%</td>
<td>74.7%</td>
<td>88.4%</td>
<td>Within</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watonwan</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>65.2%</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>75.6%</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>82.5%</td>
<td>71.0%</td>
<td>82.3%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Central</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>56.1%</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>69.3%</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>74.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MFIP/DWP Self-Support Index and Range of Expected Performance—Suburban Metro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible adults (One-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (One-year)</th>
<th>Eligible adults (Two-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (Two-year)</th>
<th>Eligible adults (Three-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (Three-year)</th>
<th>Range of expected performance: Lower limit</th>
<th>Range of expected performance: Upper limit</th>
<th>Difference below (-) or above (+) range</th>
<th>Below, within or above expected performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anoka</td>
<td>1,703</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>1,706</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
<td>1,920</td>
<td>67.3%</td>
<td>65.3%</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
<td>Within</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carver</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>63.2%</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>72.1%</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>74.6%</td>
<td>69.4%</td>
<td>77.4%</td>
<td>Within</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dakota</td>
<td>1,405</td>
<td>53.2%</td>
<td>1,493</td>
<td>65.5%</td>
<td>1,587</td>
<td>68.9%</td>
<td>67.0%</td>
<td>70.9%</td>
<td>Within</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>65.8%</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>70.6%</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>74.3%</td>
<td>69.1%</td>
<td>73.9%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>70.2%</td>
<td>68.8%</td>
<td>72.6%</td>
<td>Within</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban Metro</td>
<td>4,095</td>
<td>53.2%</td>
<td>4,236</td>
<td>63.6%</td>
<td>4,631</td>
<td>69.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP/DWP Self-Support Index and Range of Expected Performance—Core Metro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible adults (One-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (One-year)</th>
<th>Eligible adults (Two-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (Two-year)</th>
<th>Eligible adults (Three-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (Three-year)</th>
<th>Range of expected performance: Lower limit</th>
<th>Range of expected performance: Upper limit</th>
<th>Difference below (-) or above (+) range</th>
<th>Below, within or above expected performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hennepin</td>
<td>9,206</td>
<td>44.8%</td>
<td>9,354</td>
<td>54.7%</td>
<td>9,719</td>
<td>58.7%</td>
<td>58.0%</td>
<td>59.9%</td>
<td>Within</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramsey</td>
<td>6,297</td>
<td>45.8%</td>
<td>6,453</td>
<td>56.4%</td>
<td>7,096</td>
<td>62.3%</td>
<td>60.9%</td>
<td>63.2%</td>
<td>Within</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Metro</td>
<td>15,503</td>
<td>45.2%</td>
<td>15,807</td>
<td>55.4%</td>
<td>16,815</td>
<td>60.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MFIP/DWP Self-Support Index and Range of Expected Performance—Northwest Central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible adults (One-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (One-year)</th>
<th>Eligible adults (Two-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (Two-year)</th>
<th>Eligible adults (Three-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (Three-year)</th>
<th>Range of expected performance: Lower limit</th>
<th>Range of expected performance: Upper limit</th>
<th>Difference below (-) or above (+) range</th>
<th>Below, within or above expected performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kittson</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>64.3%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>72.0%</td>
<td>93.4%</td>
<td>-12.0%</td>
<td>Below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>58.3%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>56.3%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>84.2%</td>
<td>63.3%</td>
<td>81.5%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norman</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>53.8%</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>64.1%</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>63.9%</td>
<td>68.7%</td>
<td>81.4%</td>
<td>-4.8%</td>
<td>Below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennington</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>70.5%</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>70.3%</td>
<td>52.8%</td>
<td>71.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>64.6%</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>75.9%</td>
<td>72.6%</td>
<td>77.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Lake</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>73.3%</td>
<td>53.8%</td>
<td>75.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseau</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>51.6%</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>66.0%</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>71.7%</td>
<td>72.5%</td>
<td>81.8%</td>
<td>-0.8%</td>
<td>Below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>49.5%</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>65.6%</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>73.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# MFIP/DWP Self-Support Index and Range of Expected Performance—West Central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible adults (One-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (One-year)</th>
<th>Eligible adults (Two-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (Two-year)</th>
<th>Eligible adults (Three-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (Three-year)</th>
<th>Range of expected performance: Lower limit</th>
<th>Range of expected performance: Upper limit</th>
<th>Difference below (-) or above (+) range</th>
<th>Below, within or above expected performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Becker</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>55.7%</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>69.9%</td>
<td>68.1%</td>
<td>75.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beltrami</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>49.5%</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>58.8%</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>67.9%</td>
<td>62.6%</td>
<td>67.8%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cass</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>51.1%</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>72.2%</td>
<td>62.6%</td>
<td>71.6%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>55.3%</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>66.5%</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>72.9%</td>
<td>73.1%</td>
<td>77.5%</td>
<td>-0.2%</td>
<td>Below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearwater</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>58.7%</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>69.1%</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>71.7%</td>
<td>58.0%</td>
<td>72.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crow Wing</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>53.5%</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>80.2%</td>
<td>70.3%</td>
<td>76.6%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>57.3%</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>72.6%</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>74.8%</td>
<td>72.5%</td>
<td>79.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>75.8%</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
<td>64.5%</td>
<td>81.4%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubbard</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>49.0%</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>69.4%</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77.9%</td>
<td>65.1%</td>
<td>76.0%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake of the Woods</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>58.3%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
<td>66.1%</td>
<td>81.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahnomen</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>60.6%</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>56.3%</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>79.0%</td>
<td>70.2%</td>
<td>80.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrison</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>48.4%</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>66.4%</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>75.2%</td>
<td>66.1%</td>
<td>73.5%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otter Tail</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>57.3%</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>67.5%</td>
<td>68.4%</td>
<td>75.1%</td>
<td>-0.9%</td>
<td>Below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pope</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>65.5%</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>73.5%</td>
<td>63.4%</td>
<td>79.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>64.5%</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>69.7%</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>76.5%</td>
<td>59.3%</td>
<td>74.4%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>61.5%</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>77.0%</td>
<td>72.8%</td>
<td>80.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>76.7%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
<td>62.4%</td>
<td>81.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wadena</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>41.5%</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>62.8%</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>63.4%</td>
<td>63.8%</td>
<td>72.6%</td>
<td>-0.4%</td>
<td>Below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilkin</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>70.3%</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>78.6%</td>
<td>70.4%</td>
<td>86.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Central</td>
<td>2260</td>
<td>52.4%</td>
<td>2363</td>
<td>64.8%</td>
<td>2479</td>
<td>72.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP/DWP Self-Support Index and Range of Expected Performance—Central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible adults (One-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (One-year)</th>
<th>Eligible adults (Two-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (Two-year)</th>
<th>Eligible adults (Three-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (Three-year)</th>
<th>Range of expected performance: Lower limit</th>
<th>Range of expected performance: Upper limit</th>
<th>Difference below (-) or above (+) range</th>
<th>Below, within or above expected performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>59.6%</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>65.9%</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>71.7%</td>
<td>67.4%</td>
<td>73.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chisago</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>62.7%</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>76.4%</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>84.8%</td>
<td>73.7%</td>
<td>79.8%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isanti</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>60.4%</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>71.9%</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>81.8%</td>
<td>74.2%</td>
<td>80.5%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanabec</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>49.4%</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>64.5%</td>
<td>70.8%</td>
<td>78.5%</td>
<td>-6.3%</td>
<td>Below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kandiyohi</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>64.0%</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>72.2%</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>73.8%</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>80.3%</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
<td>Below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLeod</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>65.4%</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>71.8%</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>78.7%</td>
<td>73.6%</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeker</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>70.3%</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>75.8%</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mille Lacs</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>46.1%</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>67.5%</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>73.2%</td>
<td>69.3%</td>
<td>77.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>59.0%</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>65.5%</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>79.8%</td>
<td>76.4%</td>
<td>81.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renville</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>69.7%</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
<td>65.1%</td>
<td>78.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherburne</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>51.5%</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>70.1%</td>
<td>70.3%</td>
<td>76.1%</td>
<td>-0.2%</td>
<td>Below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearns</td>
<td>976</td>
<td>51.8%</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>64.7%</td>
<td>972</td>
<td>72.1%</td>
<td>67.8%</td>
<td>72.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>56.4%</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>72.4%</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>80.6%</td>
<td>71.1%</td>
<td>75.6%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>Above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>2861</td>
<td>55.9%</td>
<td>2929</td>
<td>67.9%</td>
<td>3199</td>
<td>74.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MFIP/DWP Self-Support Index and Range of Expected Performance—Tribal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible adults (One-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (One-year)</th>
<th>Eligible adults (Two-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (Two-year)</th>
<th>Eligible adults (Three-year)</th>
<th>S-SI (Three-year)</th>
<th>Range of expected performance: Lower limit</th>
<th>Range of expected performance: Upper limit</th>
<th>Difference below (-) or above (+) range</th>
<th>Below, within or above expected performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leech Lake Band</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>59.0%</td>
<td>66.1%</td>
<td>-6.7%</td>
<td>Below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota Chippewa Tribe</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>39.6%</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>47.1%</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>54.3%</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
<td>61.6%</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
<td>Below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Lake Nation</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>33.7%</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>41.6%</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>51.0%</td>
<td>49.1%</td>
<td>55.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Earth Nation</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>37.6%</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>53.8%</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
<td>57.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Tribal</td>
<td>1146</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
<td>1085</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
<td>1182</td>
<td>52.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Indicator 7: MFIP Exits and Returns**

**What is an MFIP exit? What does returning to MFIP mean?** An MFIP case is considered to have exited once it has been ineligible for two consecutive months following an active eligible month. The last eligible month is the exit month. A case returns to MFIP by becoming eligible again by re-applying, being determined eligible for the program, and receiving a grant.

**Background.** MFIP is a temporary assistance program designed to support parents or relative caregivers as they seek economic stability through work. The goal is for families to exit MFIP because they become self-sufficient and no longer need a grant. Three-quarters of MFIP cases that exit the program stay off MFIP for at least one year.

**Formula.** This measure gives the unduplicated count of MFIP cases with an eligible adult that exited from a service area during the quarter one year previous to the measurement quarter. The number of exiting cases staying off at least 12 months after an exit, and the number that returned to MFIP within that 12-month period, are each divided by the above number of exiting cases. These two percentages total 100 percent. The last column gives the subset of returning cases that were on at least six of the 12 subsequent months as a percent of exiting cases.

**Details.** Only cases with an eligible adult in the last month of assistance are included in this indicator.
Learn more about the MFIP program on the department’s website.

## MFIP Exits and Returns—State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Exiting cases</th>
<th>Percent off 12 or more months</th>
<th>Percent returned within 12 months</th>
<th>Percent returned for at least six of 12 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>5,397</td>
<td>67.3%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## MFIP Exits and Returns—Southeast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Exiting cases</th>
<th>Percent off 12 or more months</th>
<th>Percent returned within 12 months</th>
<th>Percent returned for at least six of 12 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fillmore</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>88.9%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeborn</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>74.3%</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodhue</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>87.5%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN Prairie</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>78.8%</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mower</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>61.4%</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olmsted</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>73.1%</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>67.9%</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wabasha</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winona</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>70.8%</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>72.4%</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP Exits and Returns—Northeast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Exiting cases</th>
<th>Percent off 12 or more months</th>
<th>Percent returned within 12 months</th>
<th>Percent returned for at least six of 12 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aitkin</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>87.5%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>79.2%</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itasca</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>64.9%</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koochiching</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>72.7%</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
<td>32.8%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>68.9%</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MFIP Exits and Returns—Southwest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Exiting cases</th>
<th>Percent off 12 or more months</th>
<th>Percent returned within 12 months</th>
<th>Percent returned for at least six of 12 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big Stone</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chippewa</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVHHS</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>73.7%</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac qui Parle</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nobles</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>86.2%</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWHHS</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>75.7%</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swift</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>87.5%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow Medicine</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>76.3%</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP Exits and Returns—South Central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Exiting cases</th>
<th>Percent off 12 or more months</th>
<th>Percent returned within 12 months</th>
<th>Percent returned for at least six of 12 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue Earth</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>67.7%</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>73.7%</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faribault-Martin</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Sueur</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>85.0%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicollet</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>72.2%</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibley</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watonwan</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90.0%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Central</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>71.8%</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MFIP Exits and Returns—Suburban Metro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Exiting cases</th>
<th>Percent off 12 or more months</th>
<th>Percent returned within 12 months</th>
<th>Percent returned for at least six of 12 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anoka</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>66.8%</td>
<td>33.2%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carver</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>82.4%</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dakota</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>72.4%</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>74.0%</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban Metro</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>72.0%</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP Exits and Returns—Core Metro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Exiting cases</th>
<th>Percent off 12 or more months</th>
<th>Percent returned within 12 months</th>
<th>Percent returned for at least six of 12 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hennepin</td>
<td>1,415</td>
<td>65.5%</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramsey</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
<td>32.8%</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Metro</td>
<td>2,284</td>
<td>66.2%</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MFIP Exits and Returns—Northwest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Exiting cases</th>
<th>Percent off 12 or more months</th>
<th>Percent returned within 12 months</th>
<th>Percent returned for at least six of 12 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kittson</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norman</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennington</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>70.8%</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Lake</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseau</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>58.3%</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>66.3%</td>
<td>33.7%</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP Exits and Returns—West Central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Exiting cases</th>
<th>Percent off 12 or more months</th>
<th>Percent returned within 12 months</th>
<th>Percent returned for at least six of 12 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Becker</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>68.2%</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beltrami</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>76.3%</td>
<td>57.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cass</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>75.8%</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearwater</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crow Wing</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>81.8%</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubbard</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>72.0%</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake of the Woods</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahnomen</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>63.6%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrison</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>78.3%</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otter Tail</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>70.3%</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pope</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wadena</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>78.6%</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Earth Nation</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilkin</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Central</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>51.7%</td>
<td>48.3%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP Exits and Returns—Central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Exiting cases</th>
<th>Percent off 12 or more months</th>
<th>Percent returned within 12 months</th>
<th>Percent returned for at least six of 12 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>75.5%</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chisago</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>65.7%</td>
<td>34.3%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isanti</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>80.8%</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanabec</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kandiyohi</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>76.5%</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLeod</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>70.8%</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeker</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mille Lacs</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>91.7%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>73.8%</td>
<td>26.2%</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renville</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>86.7%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherburne</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearns</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>72.0%</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>72.7%</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>74.6%</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Indicator 8: MFIP Unaccounted For Cases**

**How is unaccounted for defined?** A case is unaccounted for if it meets all the following conditions in each of the three months of the reporting quarter:

- Includes an eligible caregiver required to participate in employment services (i.e., not exempt)
- Reports no earnings or activity hours
- Not attached to Family Stabilization Services
- Not in sanction.
**Background.** This indicator is intended to be used as a management tool to determine how well the service areas and the employment services providers are doing in engaging families and helping them move toward self-sufficiency. The immediate goal is to minimize unaccounted for cases by reconnecting them to the program.

**Formula.** The number of MFIP cases unaccounted for as defined above divided by the unduplicated MFIP caseload in the reporting quarter.

**Details.** A case is attributed to the service area in the last month of the reporting quarter. MFIP caseloads in the service area include all cases with an eligible caregiver in any month of the quarter, with every case counted only once.

**Learn more** about the MFIP program on the department’s website. The Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development (DEED) provides lists of unaccounted for cases that authorized program staff can access at the DEED website.

### MFIP Unaccounted For Cases—State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible-adult cases</th>
<th>Unaccounted for cases</th>
<th>Percent of cases unaccounted for</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>24,791</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MFIP Unaccounted For Cases—Southeast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible-adult cases</th>
<th>Unaccounted for cases</th>
<th>Percent of cases unaccounted for</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fillmore</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeborn</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodhue</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN Prairie</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mower</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olmsted</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wabasha</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winona</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>1,914</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## MFIP Unaccounted For Cases—Northeast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible-adult cases</th>
<th>Unaccounted for cases</th>
<th>Percent of cases unaccounted for</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aitkin</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itasca</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koochiching</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis</td>
<td>1,073</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>1,516</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## MFIP Unaccounted For Cases—Southwest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible-adult cases</th>
<th>Unaccounted for cases</th>
<th>Percent of cases unaccounted for</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big Stone</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chippewa</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVHHS</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac qui Parle</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nobles</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWHHS</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swift</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow Medicine</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP Unaccounted For Cases—South Central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible-adult cases</th>
<th>Unaccounted for cases</th>
<th>Percent of cases unaccounted for</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue Earth</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faribault-Martin</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Sueur</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicollet</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibley</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watonwan</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Central</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MFIP Unaccounted For Cases—Suburban Metro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible-adult cases</th>
<th>Unaccounted for cases</th>
<th>Percent of cases unaccounted for</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anoka</td>
<td>1,258</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carver</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dakota</td>
<td>1,050</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban Metro</td>
<td>3,006</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MFIP Unaccounted For Cases—Core Metro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible-adult cases</th>
<th>Unaccounted for cases</th>
<th>Percent of cases unaccounted for</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hennepin</td>
<td>7,526</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramsey</td>
<td>4,817</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Metro</td>
<td>12,343</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## MFIP Unaccounted For Cases—Northwest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible-adult cases</th>
<th>Unaccounted for cases</th>
<th>Percent of cases unaccounted for</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kittson</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norman</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennington</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Lake</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseau</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## MFIP Unaccounted For Cases—West Central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible-adult cases</th>
<th>Unaccounted for cases</th>
<th>Percent of cases unaccounted for</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Becker</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beltrami</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cass</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearwater</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crow Wing</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubbard</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake of the Woods</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahnomen</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrison</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otter Tail</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pope</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traverse</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wadena</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Earth Nation</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilkin</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Central</td>
<td>2,202</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MFIP Unaccounted For Cases—Central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service area</th>
<th>Eligible-adult cases</th>
<th>Unaccounted for cases</th>
<th>Percent of cases unaccounted for</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benton</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chisago</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isanti</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanabec</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kandiyohi</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLeod</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeker</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mille Lacs</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renville</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherburne</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearns</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>2,195</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes

**County consortia.** A county consortium is the legal merger of county human services agencies of multiple counties that consolidate administrative activities for human services programs. Such a county consortium is viewed as one entity by the department. Therefore, measures in this report are reported for the county consortium and not for its individual counties. County consortia’s member counties include the following:

1. Faribault/Martin: Faribault and Martin
2. Southwest Health and Human Services: Lincoln, Lyon, Murray, Pipestone, Redwood and Rock
3. Des Moines Valley Health and Human Services: Cottonwood and Jackson
4. Minnesota Prairie County Alliance (MNPrairie): Dodge, Steele and Waseca (Waseca, formerly in the South Central region, is now included with MNPrairie in the Southeast region).
Minnesota Statute. The statute on county performance management referenced in the introduction requires a quarterly report to all counties on each county’s performance on seven measures. Five of the measures named were operationalized as Indicators 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 in this quarterly report. The other two measures named (rates of paid employment and receiving the MFIP food portion but not the cash grant) are currently reported in the “MFIP Monthly Report” (statewide data), “MFIP County Specific Report” (county breakdown), and “MFIP Trends Report” (25 months of statewide data). Additional measures are included in each of the reports. Data for counties that form consortia are aggregated.

Tribal nations. White Earth Nation assumed administrative responsibility for human services programs for tribal members residing in Becker, Clearwater and Mahnomen counties, with cases transferred starting in 2014; for performance reporting purposes, White Earth Nation caseloads are reported with the counties and county consortia for six measures. For the two high-stakes performance measures, the MFIP/DWP Self-Support Index and the Work Participation Rate, people served by a tribal employment services provider are taken out of the county numbers and reported by provider, including the Leech Lake Band, Minnesota Chippewa Tribe, Red Lake Nation and White Earth Nation employment services providers.

Note that the Mille Lacs Band operates a tribal TANF program that is independent of the state TANF/MFIP program so is not included in state-level MFIP reporting. Red Lake Nation transitioned to a tribal TANF program from September 2015 to January 2016, but continues to be included in the report during the transition time.

Service areas. Counties, county consortia and tribes are referred to as service areas in this report.

Data source. Except as otherwise noted, all data are recorded in the department’s MAXIS eligibility system; all data are extracted from the department’s Data Warehouse.

---

1 From October 2009 through November 2014, Minnesota had a Work Benefit program that provided a monthly cash benefit for families that had exited MFIP or the Diversionary Work Program and were working a required number of hours with income below 200 percent of the Federal Poverty Guideline. These cases were included in the WPR numerator and denominator during that time. Without the Work Benefit program, the 2012 TANF rate would have been lower.

2 The tribal providers are Leech Lake, Minnesota Chippewa Tribe, and White Earth Nation (White Earth Nation’s totals also include tribal cases for which White Earth Nation administers MFIP in a three-county area). Mille Lacs Band and Red Lake Nation operate tribal TANF programs and are not included here.